

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,798 11/04/2003		Yoshiaki Miyake	Q78285	Q78285 9394	
23373	7590 09/07/2005		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.		ALIE, GHASSEM			
SUITE 800		••••	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			3724		

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\sim$
\W
ノビ

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/699,798	MIYAKE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ghassem Alie	3724		

Advisory Action	10/099,796	WITARCETAL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ghassem Alie	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ol>						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See the next page. (See 37 CFR 1.116 a		ejected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).			
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:						

Applicant's amendment after final on 08/25/05 has been fully considered; however, amendment to independent claim 1 raises new issues that need further consideration and search. The dependent claims which depend from independent claim 1, have never been considered in view of the combined subject matter of claims 1 and 2. In addition, claim 15 is a new independent claim that has never been considered. Consequently, new independent claim 15 raises new issues that need further consideration and search. Moreover, applicant's argument that Warthen does not teach the subject mater of claims 1 and 2 is not persuasive. Warthen et al. (5,979,278), hereinafter Warthen teaches a cutting mechanism 30 or 230 for napped cloth 31 to cut a napped cloth having a single napped surface 32. The fabric 31 has a base portion 33 and a pile portion 32, which defines a napped surface. Warthen also teaches a cutting means for cutting napped cloth 31 by advancing a cutter 36 or 236 through the napped surface 32. See figs. 1-17 and col. 4, lines 7-67 and col. 7 and 7, lines 1-67 in Warthen. Regarding claim 2, Warthen teaches everything noted above including that the cutting means 30 or 230 cuts the napped cloth 31 by moving the cutter 36 or 236 so that both Vy, a component of velocity in a direction wherein the blade extends, and Vx, a component of velocity in a direction wherein the blade of the cutter 36 or 236 extends become larger than zero. The Vy is defined by the up and down movement of the blade 36 or 236 by the cylinder 35 or 297. See Figs. 1-18 in Warthen. It should also be noted that cutting means 36 and 236 are slanted or are diagonal same as cutting means 20 of the instant application. See Fig. 11 in Warthen and Fig. 18 of the instant application. Cutting means 36, 236 moves downward with a velocity V. Velocity V can be resolved into two components in X-Y reference coordinate axes same as the instant application.

STEPHEN CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER